

Advanced Quantum Theory  
Solutions for problem sheet 5

①

(a) The state has to be a linear combination  
 $|\psi\rangle = c_{ijk} |i\rangle |j\rangle |k\rangle + c_{ikj} |i\rangle |k\rangle |j\rangle$   
 $+ c_{jik} |j\rangle |i\rangle |k\rangle + c_{jki} |j\rangle |k\rangle |i\rangle$   
 $+ c_{kij} |k\rangle |i\rangle |j\rangle + c_{kji} |k\rangle |j\rangle |i\rangle$

(Anti-) symmetry w.r.t. interchanging the first two particles implies (here the upper signs are for bosons and the lower ones for fermions)  
 $|\psi\rangle = \pm c_{ijk} |j\rangle |i\rangle |k\rangle \pm c_{ikj} |k\rangle |i\rangle |j\rangle$

$$\pm c_{jik} |i\rangle |j\rangle |k\rangle \pm c_{jki} |k\rangle |j\rangle |i\rangle$$

$$\pm c_{kij} |i\rangle |k\rangle |j\rangle \pm c_{kji} |j\rangle |k\rangle |i\rangle$$

Comparing coefficients gives

$$c_{ijk} = \pm c_{jik} \quad c_{ikj} = \pm c_{kij} \quad c_{jki} = \pm c_{kji} \quad (*)$$

(Anti-) symmetry w.r.t. exchanging the first and third particle implies

$$|\psi\rangle = \pm c_{ijk} |i\rangle |k\rangle |j\rangle \pm c_{ikj} |i\rangle |j\rangle |k\rangle$$

$$\pm c_{jik} |j\rangle |k\rangle |i\rangle \pm c_{jki} |j\rangle |i\rangle |k\rangle$$

$$\pm c_{kij} |k\rangle |j\rangle |i\rangle \pm c_{kji} |k\rangle |i\rangle |j\rangle$$

Comparing coefficient gives

$$c_{ijk} = \pm c_{ikj} \quad c_{jik} = \pm c_{kji} \quad c_{kij} = \pm c_{kji} \quad (**)$$

(\*) and (\*\*) together mean that all coefficients are equal for bosons. This agrees with the lecture. All coefficients will be denoted by  $c$ . For fermions

$$c = c_{ijk} \stackrel{(*)}{=} -c_{jik} \stackrel{(**)}{=} c_{jki} \stackrel{(*)}{=} -c_{kji} \stackrel{(**)}{=} c_{kij} \stackrel{(*)}{=} c_{ijk}$$

As in the lecture, negative signs arise if the required number of transpositions is odd.

(b) The states  $|i\rangle|j\rangle|k\rangle$  etc are normalised as  $|i\rangle, |j\rangle$ , and  $|k\rangle$  are normalised. They are also orthogonal to each other, as the scalar product of  $|i\rangle|j\rangle|k\rangle$  and  $|i'\rangle|j'\rangle|k'\rangle$  is

$$\int d^3r_1 d^3r_2 d^3r_3 \psi_i^*(\underline{r}_1) \psi_j^*(\underline{r}_2) \psi_k^*(\underline{r}_3) \psi_{i'}(\underline{r}_1) \psi_{j'}(\underline{r}_2) \psi_{k'}(\underline{r}_3)$$

$$= \delta_{ii'} \delta_{jj'} \delta_{kk'}$$

due to e.g.  $\int d^3r_1 \psi_i^*(\underline{r}_1) \psi_{i'}(\underline{r}_1) = \delta_{ii'}$ .

Hence

$$\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = |c_{ijk}|^2 \langle |i\rangle|j\rangle|k\rangle |^2 + |c_{ikj}|^2 \langle |i\rangle|k\rangle|j\rangle |^2 + \dots$$

$$= |c_{ijk}|^2 + |c_{ikj}|^2 + \dots$$

$$= 6 |c|^2$$

(here  $|\cdot|$  is the norm of a state, i.e.  $\langle | \psi \rangle |^2 = \langle \psi | \psi \rangle$ )  
 Normalisation thus implies

$$|c| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$$

and the simplest choice is

$$c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$$

(c) The state has to be a linear combination

$$|\psi\rangle = c_{ijj} |i\rangle|i\rangle|j\rangle + c_{iji} |i\rangle|j\rangle|i\rangle + c_{jii} |j\rangle|i\rangle|i\rangle$$

Symmetry w.r.t. interchanging the first two particles implies

$$|\psi\rangle = c_{ijj} |i\rangle|i\rangle|j\rangle + c_{iji} |j\rangle|i\rangle|i\rangle + c_{jii} |i\rangle|i\rangle|j\rangle$$

Comparing coefficients gives

$$c_{iji} = c_{jii}$$

Symmetry w.r.t. interchanging the 2nd and 3rd particle implies

$$|\psi\rangle = c_{ijj} |i\rangle|j\rangle|i\rangle + c_{iji} |j\rangle|i\rangle|i\rangle + c_{jii} |i\rangle|i\rangle|j\rangle$$

Comparing coefficients gives

$$c_{iji} = c_{jii}$$

Hence all coefficients are equal and will be called  $c$ . The same argument as in (b) implies

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\psi|\psi\rangle &= |c_{ijj}|^2 + |c_{iji}|^2 + |c_{jii}|^2 \\ &= 3|c|^2 \stackrel{!}{=} 1\end{aligned}$$

with the simplest solution

$$c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$$

(The result could also have been written as in (a) with  $i \rightarrow \hat{i}$ ,  $j \rightarrow \hat{j}$ ,  $k \rightarrow \hat{j}$ . Then each summand would have appeared twice and the normalisation factor could have been written as  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}$ , agreeing with the  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N! \prod m_k!}}$  stated in the lecture, here  $N=3$ ,  $m_i=2$ ,  $m_j \stackrel{k}{=} 1$ .)

② (a) Solution I

(i) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \hat{H} |n_1, n_2\rangle \\
 &= \left( -a_1^+ a_2 - a_2^+ a_1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \hat{n}_i (\hat{n}_i - 1) \right) |n_1, n_2\rangle \\
 &= -a_1^+ \sqrt{n_2} |n_1, n_2 - 1\rangle \\
 &\quad - a_2^+ \sqrt{n_1} |n_1 - 1, n_2\rangle \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (n_1(n_1 - 1) + n_2(n_2 - 1)) |n_1, n_2\rangle \\
 &= -\sqrt{n_1 + 1} \sqrt{n_2} |n_1 + 1, n_2 - 1\rangle \\
 &\quad - \sqrt{n_2 + 1} \sqrt{n_1} |n_1 - 1, n_2 + 1\rangle \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (n_1(n_1 - 1) + n_2(n_2 - 1)) |n_1, n_2\rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

which is a linear combination of states with the same overall particle number  $n_1 + n_2$ .

Solution II

If one uses commutators one has to show

$$[\hat{H}, \hat{n}] = 0$$

where  $\hat{n} = \hat{n}_1 + \hat{n}_2$ . It is simple to show that

$$[\hat{n}_i, \hat{n}_j] = 0 \text{ and hence } \left[ \sum_i \hat{n}_i (\hat{n}_i - 1), \hat{n} \right]$$

Furthermore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [-a_1^+ a_2, \hat{n}] \\
 &= [-a_1^+ a_2, a_1^+ a_1 + a_2^+ a_2] \\
 &= -a_1^+ a_2 a_1^+ a_1 + a_1^+ a_1 a_1^+ a_2 \\
 &\quad - a_1^+ a_2 a_2^+ a_2 + a_2^+ a_2 a_1^+ a_2 \\
 &= -a_1^+ a_1^+ a_1 a_2 + a_1^+ a_1 a_1^+ a_2 \\
 &\quad - a_1^+ a_2 a_2^+ a_2 + a_1^+ a_2^+ a_2 a_2 \\
 &= -a_1^+ \underbrace{(a_1^+ a_1 - a_1 a_1^+)}_{=-1} a_2 - a_1^+ \underbrace{(a_2 a_2^+ - a_2^+ a_2)}_{=1} a_2 \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

and similarly (obtained by interchanging  $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ )

$$[-a_2^\dagger a_1, \hat{n}] = 0$$

Hence indeed

$$[\hat{H}, \hat{n}] = 0$$

(b) The states with two particles are in occupation number repr.

•  $|2, 0\rangle$  (conventional repr:  $|1, 1\rangle$  as there are two particles in state 1)

•  $|1, 1\rangle$  (conventional repr:  $|1, 2\rangle$ )

•  $|0, 2\rangle$  (conventional repr:  $|2, 2\rangle$ )

(c) We have (e.g. by applying the formula given in (a)).

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}|2, 0\rangle &= -0 - \sqrt{1}\sqrt{2}|1, 1\rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(2(2-1) + 0(0-1))|2, 0\rangle \\ &= -\sqrt{2}|1, 1\rangle + |2, 0\rangle\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}|1, 1\rangle &= -\sqrt{2}\sqrt{1}|2, 0\rangle - \sqrt{2}\sqrt{1}|0, 2\rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(1(1-1) + 1(1-1))|1, 1\rangle \\ &= -\sqrt{2}|2, 0\rangle - \sqrt{2}|0, 2\rangle\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}|0, 2\rangle &= -\sqrt{1}\sqrt{2}|1, 1\rangle - 0 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(0(0-1) + 2(2-1))|0, 2\rangle \\ &= -\sqrt{2}|1, 1\rangle + |0, 2\rangle\end{aligned}$$

i.e. for a state

$$c_{20}|2, 0\rangle + c_{11}|1, 1\rangle + c_{02}|0, 2\rangle = |\psi\rangle$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}|\psi\rangle &= c_{20}(-\sqrt{2}|1, 1\rangle + |2, 0\rangle) \\ &\quad + c_{11}(-\sqrt{2}|2, 0\rangle - \sqrt{2}|0, 2\rangle) \\ &\quad + c_{02}(-\sqrt{2}|1, 1\rangle + |0, 2\rangle) \\ &= \underbrace{(c_{20} - \sqrt{2}c_{11})}_{= d_{20}}|2, 0\rangle + \underbrace{(-\sqrt{2}c_{20} - \sqrt{2}c_{02})}_{= d_{11}}|1, 1\rangle\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \underbrace{(-\sqrt{2}c_{11} + c_{02})}_{= d_{02}} |0, 2\rangle$$

The coefficients of these states are related by

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_{20} \\ d_{11} \\ d_{02} \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ -\sqrt{2} & 0 & -\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & -\sqrt{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_H \begin{pmatrix} c_{20} \\ c_{11} \\ c_{02} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $H$  is the matrix representation of the Hamiltonian for 2-particle states. Its eigenvalues are the energy levels for 2-particle states.

They are determined by

$$0 = \det(H - E) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1-E & -\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ -\sqrt{2} & -E & -\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & -\sqrt{2} & 1-E \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (1-E)^2(-E) - 2(-\sqrt{2})^2(1-E)$$

$$= (1-E)(-E + E^2 - 4)$$

$$= -(1-E)(E^2 - E - 4)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow E = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad E = \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + 4} = \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{17}{4}} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \sqrt{17})$$



③

We first consider the case that  $|\Phi\rangle$  and  $|\Psi\rangle$  are occupation number eigenstates

$$|\Psi\rangle = |n_1, n_2, \dots, m_i, \dots\rangle$$

$$|\Phi\rangle = |m_1, m_2, \dots, m_i, \dots\rangle$$

The l.h.s. gives

$$\langle \Phi | a_i | \Psi \rangle$$

$$= \langle \dots m_i' \dots | a_i | \dots n_i \dots \rangle$$

$$= \langle \dots m_i \dots | \sqrt{m_i} | \dots n_i - 1 \dots \rangle$$

The occupation number eigenstates form an orthogonal basis so their scalar product is 1 if the states are identical and 0 otherwise.

This implies

$$\langle \Phi | a_i | \Psi \rangle = \left( \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^M \delta_{m_j, n_j} \right) \delta_{m_i, n_i-1} \sqrt{n_i}$$

On the r.h.s. we obtain

$$\langle \Psi | a_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle^* = \langle \dots m_i \dots | a_i^\dagger | \dots m_i' \dots \rangle^*$$

$$= \langle \dots m_i \dots | \sqrt{m_i+1} | \dots m_i+1 \dots \rangle^*$$

and using the same result about scalar products

$$\langle \Psi | a_i^\dagger | \Phi \rangle^* = \left( \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^M \delta_{n_j, m_j} \right) \underbrace{\delta_{m_i, m_i+1}}_{\delta_{m_i, n_i-1}} \underbrace{\sqrt{m_i+1}}_{= \sqrt{n_i} \text{ if } m_i = n_i - 1}$$

$$= \langle \Phi | a_i | \Psi \rangle$$

A general state can be written as a linear combination of occupation number eigenstates.

Writing  $\underline{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots)$ ,  $\underline{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots)$

we can write general states as

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{\underline{n}} c_{\underline{n}} |\underline{n}\rangle$$

$$|\Phi\rangle = \sum_{\underline{m}} d_{\underline{m}} |\underline{m}\rangle \Rightarrow \langle \Phi | = \sum_{\underline{m}} d_{\underline{m}}^* \langle \underline{m} |$$

So using the result for occupation number eigenstates we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \Phi | a_i | \Psi \rangle^* \\ &= \sum_{\underline{m}} \sum_{\underline{n}} d_{\underline{m}}^* c_{\underline{n}} \underbrace{\langle \underline{m} | a_i | \underline{m} \rangle}_{= \langle \underline{n} | a_i^\dagger | \underline{m} \rangle^*} \\ &= \left( \sum_{\underline{m}} \sum_{\underline{n}} d_{\underline{m}} c_{\underline{n}}^* \langle \underline{n} | a_i^\dagger | \underline{m} \rangle \right)^* \\ &= \left( \left( \sum_{\underline{n}} c_{\underline{n}}^* \langle \underline{n} | \right) a_i^\dagger \left( \sum_{\underline{m}} d_{\underline{m}} | \underline{m} \rangle \right) \right)^* \\ &= \left( \langle \Phi | a_i^\dagger | \Psi \rangle \right)^* \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

④

Using  $a_i^+ | \dots n_i \dots \rangle = \sqrt{n_i+1} | \dots n_i+1 \dots \rangle$   
we get

$$a_i^+ | \dots n_i=0 \dots \rangle = | \dots n_i=1 \dots \rangle$$

$$a_i^{+2} | \dots n_i=0 \dots \rangle = \sqrt{2} | \dots n_i=2 \dots \rangle$$

etc.

so we expect

$$\frac{(a_i^+)^{m_i}}{\sqrt{m_i!}} | \dots n_i=0 \dots \rangle = | \dots n_i=m_i \dots \rangle$$

This can be shown by induction. For  $m_i=0$   
we have

$$\frac{(a_i^+)^0}{\sqrt{0!}} | \dots n_i=0 \dots \rangle = | \dots n_i=0 \dots \rangle$$

which is in line with the expectation

Now we assume the expectation is correct for  $m_i$   
and show that this implies it is correct for  $m_i+1$ .

Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(a_i^+)^{m_i+1}}{\sqrt{(m_i+1)!}} | \dots n_i=0 \dots \rangle &= \frac{a_i^+}{\sqrt{m_i+1}} | \dots n_i=m_i \dots \rangle \\ &\stackrel{\text{assumption}}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_i+1}} \sqrt{m_i+1} | \dots n_i=m_i+1 \dots \rangle \\ &= | \dots n_i=m_i+1 \dots \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Applying a product of (commuting)  
operators like this gives

$$\prod_i \frac{(a_i^+)^{m_i}}{\sqrt{m_i!}} | 0 \rangle = | m_1=m_1, m_2=m_2, \dots \rangle$$

or in simpler notation

$$\prod_i \frac{(a_i^+)^{m_i}}{\sqrt{m_i!}} | 0 \rangle = | m_1, m_2, \dots \rangle$$